

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/900,618	07/06/2001	Ambatipudi R. Sastry	SRI-010A	SRI-010A 6069	
52197 75	90 11/30/2005		EXAMINER		
MOSER, PATTERSON & SHERIDAN, LLP			HARPER, KEVIN C		
SRI INTERNATIONAL 595 SHREWSBURY AVENUE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 100			2666		
SHREWSBURY, NJ 07702			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/900,618	SASTRY ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Kevin C. Harper	2666	

	Kevin C. Harper	2000						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compl following time periods:</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.							
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.								
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on a been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension at CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened state above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in composition of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any explanation of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);						
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	):							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	,							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b)      wided below or appended.	ill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-14 and 17-21</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary					
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.  S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a 1).					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after $\epsilon$	entry is below or attac	hed.					
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:					
<ul><li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).</li><li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li></ul>	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper (	No(s)						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not considered persuasive. Applicant argued that Li does not teach packet classes having a minimum bandwidth allocation that is dynamically changeable. However, in Li a packet class (fig. 2; col. 5, line 43) has a minimum bandwidth (col. 4, lines 31-34 and 40-41) which changes depending on admitted traffic (col. 6, lines 54-56; note: as connections are admitted, the assigned bandwidth increases and thereby increases a minimum bandwidth required to meet the QoS requirements of the admitted connections).

Continuation of 13. Other: The amended claims are rejected under the same grounds as in the final rejection..

KCH

FRANK DUONG PRIMARY EXAMINER